



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Infectious Diseases

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijid

Review

Quality of tuberculosis care in high burden countries: the urgent need to address gaps in the care cascade



Danielle Cazabon^a, Hannah Alsdurf^a, Srinath Satyanarayana^a, Ruvandhi Nathavitharana^b, Ramnath Subbaraman^c, Amrita Daftary^{a,d}, Madhukar Pai^{a,e,*}

^a McGill International TB Centre, McGill University, 1020 Pine Ave West, Montreal, QC H3A 1A2, Canada

^b Division of Infectious Diseases, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

^c Division of Infectious Diseases, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

^d CAPRISA MRC HIV-TB Pathogenesis and Treatment Research Unit, University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa

^e Manipal McGill Centre for Infectious Diseases, Manipal University, Manipal, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 October 2016

Received in revised form 14 October 2016

Accepted 18 October 2016

Corresponding Editor: Eskild Petersen, Aarhus, Denmark

Keywords:

Tuberculosis
Quality of care
Cascade of care
Quality improvement
Standards for TB care

SUMMARY

Despite the high coverage of directly observed treatment short-course (DOTS), tuberculosis (TB) continues to affect 10.4 million people each year, and kills 1.8 million. High TB mortality, the large number of missing TB cases, the emergence of severe forms of drug resistance, and the slow decline in TB incidence indicate that merely expanding the coverage of TB services is insufficient to end the epidemic. In the era of the End TB Strategy, we need to think beyond coverage and start focusing on the quality of TB care that is routinely offered to patients in high burden countries, in both public and private sectors. In this review, current evidence on the quality of TB care in high burden countries, major gaps in the quality of care, and some novel efforts to measure and improve the quality of care are described. Based on systematic reviews on the quality of TB care or surrogates of quality (e.g., TB diagnostic delays), analyses of TB care cascades, and newer studies that directly measure quality of care, it is shown that the quality of care in both the public and private sector falls short of international standards and urgently needs improvement. National TB programs will therefore need to systematically measure and improve quality of TB care and invest in quality improvement programs.

© 2016 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

In May 2014, the World Health Assembly approved the End TB Strategy, which proposes the ambitious target of ending the global tuberculosis (TB) epidemic by 2035.¹ The goal will be met when TB-related deaths and active TB incidence are reduced by 95% and 90%, respectively, compared with the 2015 values. Are we on track to reach these goals?

During the directly observed treatment short course (DOTS) era in the 1990s and early 2000s, high burden countries (HBCs) focused on achieving ‘coverage’, defined as the availability of free TB diagnostic and treatment services in all regions or districts of a country. While nearly all countries have managed to substantially improve geographic coverage, the ‘quality’ of services has received little attention. As a result, TB continues to be a major infectious

threat and remains the largest cause of infectious disease mortality worldwide, with 10.4 million new TB cases and 1.4 million TB deaths estimated in 2015.² The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates the global burden of multidrug-resistant TB and rifampicin-resistant TB (MDR/RR-TB) to be 3.9% of new cases of active TB disease and 21% of previously treated cases. Of the 10.4 million TB cases, 4.3 million patients are either not diagnosed or not notified to national TB programs. Further, TB incidence is declining at a very low rate of 1.5% per year.²

These data suggest that the current approach to global TB control, in which the onus has been on expanding coverage of TB services, needs to be reconsidered. In the era of the End TB Strategy, we need to think beyond coverage and start focusing on the quality of care that is routinely provided to patients in HBCs, in both public and private sectors.^{3,4} Quality TB care is patient-centric care that is consistent with international standards and delivered in an accessible, timely, safe, effective, efficient, and equitable manner. In this narrative review, current evidence on quality of TB care in HBCs, major gaps in quality of care, and some novel efforts at

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: madhukar.pai@mcgill.ca (M. Pai).

measuring quality of care are described, primarily through examples of recent work conducted in India. Where available, the findings of systematic reviews on quality of TB care or surrogates of quality (e.g., TB diagnostic delays), analyses of TB care cascades, and newer studies that directly measure quality of care using simulated patients are appraised.

2. Quality of care for latent TB infection

The WHO recommends treating latent TB infection (LTBI) in populations at high risk of progressing from LTBI to active TB disease.⁵ These include patients with specific immunosuppressive conditions, notably HIV, and adults and children who have had contact with patients with active pulmonary TB (PTB). However, few HBCs implement these recommendations, even among people living with HIV, where the need is most urgent.² Some TB experts have recently argued for expanded and more aggressive implementation of LTBI screening and treatment programs in HBCs to mitigate the incidence of active TB disease.⁶

There have been several reviews conducted on LTBI screening, diagnosis, and treatment, but few have appraised the quality of LTBI care. In the most recent systematic review of 58 studies, Alsduf and colleagues examined patient losses from the cascade of care for LTBI from the identification of those intended for screening to those who completed treatment (Figure 1).⁷ The authors identified areas where LTBI care has been successfully delivered (patients receiving tuberculosis skin test results, a referral for further evaluation if a test is positive, starting therapy after it was recommended), but they also identified important gaps in care that were in need of improvement. These gaps include the initial linkage to screening for LTBI, completing a medical evaluation after being referred, being recommended for treatment after a medical evaluation, and completing treatment once started.

The authors also found that higher proportions of people tested positive for LTBI in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) versus high-income countries, 61.3% and 24.8%, respectively.⁷ Treatment completion rates also differed between LMICs and high-income countries. Of people who started preventive therapy, only 52% of those in LMICs completed treatment, as compared to 70% in high-income countries. When comparing treatment completion among those who were eligible, less than 17% in LMICs, and 23% in high-income countries completed treatment; not nearly as large a difference as among those starting treatment.⁷ A variety of factors contributing to these gaps were highlighted, including low TB risk perceptions, financial hardships among patients, and the lack of provider knowledge regarding LTBI treatment.⁷

This review highlights that studies focusing on LTBI diagnosis (usually as a result of contact investigations) and adherence to treatment, need to recognize the various interim steps where patient attrition can occur in order to improve the quality of LTBI care in its entirety. The authors found fewer losses to occur among high-risk populations (i.e., close contacts or patients with serious medical disorders), likely as a result of intensified follow-up, suggesting the need for improved quality of care to ensure successful completion of preventive TB therapy.⁷

3. Quality of care for active TB

Only one systematic review that explicitly reviewed studies on quality of TB care was identified; the review was performed in India. Satyanarayana and colleagues conducted a systematic review of Indian studies on health care providers' knowledge and self-reported practices regarding TB, and used the International Standards for TB Care (ISTC) to benchmark quality of care.⁸ Of the 47 studies identified in the review, 12 used medical records and 35 were based on questionnaires. None assessed actual practice




Figure 1. Losses and drop-outs at each stage of the cascade of care in latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI). Numbers in parentheses are 95% confidence intervals. The value for each level is calculated as the product of the value from the preceding step, multiplied by the pooled estimate for that step (from fixed-effects analysis). Source: Alsduf H et al. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2016⁷ (reproduced with permission).

using standardized (simulated) patients. Ten of 22 studies evaluating provider knowledge about TB diagnosis found that less than half of providers had correct knowledge of using sputum microscopy for persons with typical TB symptoms. Of the four studies that assessed self-reported practices by providers, three found that less than one-fourth reported ordering sputum smears for persons with typical TB symptoms. In 11 of 14 studies that assessed treatment, less than one-third of providers knew the standard four-drug regimen (HRZE: isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide, ethambutol) for drug-sensitive TB. Across all standards, providers had better knowledge as compared to self-reported practices. In this review, eleven studies included both public and private providers; in general, public sector providers had relatively higher levels of appropriate knowledge as well as practice.

Other systematic reviews have focused on TB diagnostic delays, which is a surrogate for quality of TB care. In a systematic review of 39 studies, including data from 45 countries, Sreeramareddy and colleagues estimated that the median time interval between the onset of symptoms suggestive of PTB and the patient's first contact with a health care provider was 31.7 days (patient delay).⁹ The median time interval between the first health consultation and the date of diagnosis was 28.4 days (health system delay). The median time interval between the onset of PTB symptoms and the initiation of anti-TB therapy was 67.8 days (total delay).

A subsequent systematic review that focused on 23 studies from different parts of India, identified that the median patient, diagnostic, and total delays were 18.4 days (interquartile range (IQR) 14.3–27 days), 30 days (IQR 24.5–35.4 days), and 55.3 days (IQR 46.5–61.5 days), respectively.¹⁰ This review also found that Indian TB patients, on average, are diagnosed after three health care provider visits. These studies show that TB diagnosis may be delayed even when patients present with overt TB symptoms, and underscores the need to address this major diagnostic gap.

The HIV community has actively used the cascade of care analysis to identify and address major gaps in the continuum and quality of HIV care, from diagnosis to successful virological suppression after antiretroviral therapy.¹¹ This helps assess progress towards the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) ‘90–90–90 targets’, which aim to diagnose 90% of all HIV-positive people, provide antiretroviral therapy (ART) for 90% of those diagnosed, and achieve viral suppression for 90% of those treated. Figure 2 illustrates a global HIV care cascade and highlights the current gaps at each step of the cascade.¹¹

While published analyses of TB care cascades are scarce, a recent analysis from India provides useful insights into how this approach can help identify gaps in quality of TB care.¹² Subbaraman and colleagues conducted a cascade of care analysis for TB in India using data from the Revised National TB Control Programme (RNTCP), the WHO, and targeted systematic reviews.¹² The study estimated that over 25% of prevalent TB cases in 2013 were not being evaluated at governmental TB diagnostic centers (Figure 3). Of the 1.9 million cases that made contact with the public health facilities, about half a million cases were either not correctly diagnosed or were not put on treatment once diagnosed. The study used recurrence-free survival as the endpoint of the cascade rather than treatment completion (used by national TB programs). The authors suggested that routine follow-up with patients after treatment ends may be equally as important as monitoring adherence to treatment, due to considerable rates of post-treatment TB recurrence. This will facilitate early detection of relapse cases. Of note, the setting-specific context is important for understanding the cascade of care, as in some settings re-infection is common and thus recurrence-free survival may be less likely to reflect the quality of TB care received.

Traditionally, studies of quality of TB care have mostly relied on knowledge-assessment questionnaires (e.g., ‘vignettes’), direct observation of providers, recall-based patient surveys, and chart abstraction (e.g., prescription audit). However, these methods may not reflect actual practice.^{13,14} Consequently, standardized or simulated patients (SPs) are increasingly used in low-income countries to assess quality of care for a variety of conditions.^{15–18} Relative to other methods of measuring quality of care, data from SPs yield an assessment of provider practice that is free from




Figure 2. Analysis of the HIV cascade of care, comparing the UNAIDS targets for 2020 with global estimates for 2014/15. Source: Levi et al. *BMJ Global Health* 2016¹¹ (Open Access, under Creative Commons License).

observation bias, less vulnerable to recall bias, and more complete than medical records. Furthermore, SPs permit estimates of case detection rates, since illnesses are fixed by design. Finally, because cases are standardized, the methodology allows for quality




Figure 3. The cascade of care for all forms of tuberculosis in the 2013 Revised National Tuberculosis Control Programme (RNTCP) in India. Error bars depict 95% confidence intervals. Source: Subbaraman R et al. *PLoS Med* 2016¹² (Open Access, under Creative Commons License).

comparisons across different care providers (e.g., public vs. private).

Das and colleagues recently published the first validation study on the use of SPs for assessing quality of TB care.¹⁶ Four prototypical cases, two for presumed TB and one each for confirmed TB and suspected multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB), were presented by 17 SPs, with 250 SP interactions with 100 consenting health care providers in Delhi. The researchers also used vignettes to assess provider knowledge of presumed TB. Correct case management was benchmarked using Standards for TB Care in India (STCI).¹⁹ The proportion of SPs correctly detected to have TB was strikingly low at 5%, with a high correlation noted between SP recall and audio recordings. Across all cases, only 52 out of 250 (21%; 95% confidence interval (CI) 16–26%) were correctly managed. Correct management was higher among qualified doctors (adjusted odds ratio 2.41, 95% CI 1.17–4.93) as compared to all informal providers and practitioners of alternative forms of medicine. Provider knowledge based on responses to the vignettes was markedly more consistent with the recommendations outlined in the STCI than their practice. For example, while 73% said they would order a sputum test or X-ray for suspected TB, only 10% actually did so when the SP visited them.

Based on the success of this pilot project in identifying gaps in quality of TB care, a similar methodology was used in three Indian cities to assess how pharmacists managed persons with TB symptoms. Indian pharmacists are frequently consulted by patients for over-the-counter medications.²⁰ Satyanarayana and colleagues trained SPs to present as two cases.²⁰ Case 1 was an SP presenting with 2–3 weeks of cough and other typical TB symptoms. Case 2 was an SP presenting with a laboratory test report confirming PTB. SPs completed 1200 interactions in 622 pharmacies in the cities of Delhi, Mumbai, and Patna. The researchers defined ideal management for both cases a priori as referral to any health care provider without antibiotics or steroids being dispensed. Ideal management was seen in only 13% of pharmacies that received case 1 SPs. However, for case 2 SPs, the ideal management proportion increased to 62%. The use of broad-spectrum antimicrobials was nearly half in case 2 interactions as compared to case 1 interactions. Referrals were significantly more common when SPs carried with them evidence of TB (i.e., positive sputum test report), thus making the diagnosis apparent to pharmacists.

Thus, the use of the SP methodology allowed the researchers to show that Indian pharmacists can delay the diagnosis of TB by dispensing broad-spectrum antibiotics (including fluoroquinolones) and not referring persons with typical TB symptoms for testing. However, the study also showed that, contrary to popular belief, none of the pharmacists in the three cities was dispensing first-line anti-TB medications over the counter. These findings are highly relevant to India, could help prevent antibiotic abuse, and increase referrals from pharmacists.

These novel SP studies present direct evidence that improving the quality of care in HBCs with complex health systems such as India must be a priority. The SP methodology can feasibly be replicated in other HBCs, as they can inform quality improvement (QI) programs to address gaps at different stages of the continuum of TB care.

4. Quality of care for drug-resistant TB

Mounting evidence demonstrates the primary transmission of drug-resistant TB (DR-TB) strains, but the emergence and persistence of TB drug resistance also highlights the shortcomings of the current quality of TB care. Data demonstrate frequent delays in the diagnosis of multidrug resistant or rifampicin-resistant TB

(MDR/RR-TB), and globally less than one quarter of the estimated MDR/RR-TB patients are detected and reported to national surveillance systems.² The empirical management of TB without drug susceptibility testing (DST), inappropriate drug regimens, and insufficient attention to concurrent social support for patients to facilitate treatment completion are major drivers of DR-TB. The WHO has proposed five priority actions to tackle the global DR-TB crisis: (1) prevent the development of drug resistance through the high quality treatment of drug-sensitive TB; (2) expand the rapid testing and detection of DR-TB cases; (3) provide immediate access to effective treatment and proper care; (4) prevent transmission through infection control; and (5) increase political commitment with financing. Unfortunately, HBCs have yet to address these priority actions to tackle DR-TB. In many countries, less than half of all patients with MDR-TB are on second-line drug therapy.²

While there have been no systematic reviews on the quality of care for DR-TB, reviews discussed earlier show critical gaps in service delivery among studies involving patients with DR-TB. Satyanarayana and colleagues found one study that examined quality of care for DR-TB, which identified that only 39% of health care providers reported conducting DST for eligible TB cases.⁸ Moreover, using SPs, Das and colleagues found that even among qualified, trained doctors in India, a DST was rarely ordered for a SP with classic TB symptoms and a clear history of previous, incomplete therapy.¹⁶

In the Indian cascade of TB care analysis by Subbaraman and colleagues, data for MDR-TB patients were analyzed separately.¹² As shown in Figure 4, the cascade suggests that the vast majority of MDR-TB patients who present to public sector diagnostic facilities are not diagnosed with drug resistance, highlighting an urgent need to move towards universal DST, as recommended by the End TB Strategy.¹² Of note, outcomes for MDR-TB patients were considerably worse than for drug-sensitive TB patients, with only 10.6% of MDR-TB patients achieving recurrence-free survival.

Suboptimal care for DR-TB is not limited to India. The WHO reported that in 2015, there were an estimated 480 000 cases of MDR-TB globally and 100 000 cases of RR-TB. Of these, only 130 000 (approximately 23%) were detected and reported.² Even after diagnosis, only about half of these patients with DR-TB were successfully treated. This means access to second-line therapy is suboptimal, and patients are not getting the support they need to complete the long treatment for DR-TB.² Unless these gaps are addressed, MDR-TB will continue to be a major challenge.




Figure 4. The tuberculosis cascade of care for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) patients detected and treated by the 2013 Revised National Tuberculosis Control Programme (RNTCP) in India. Error bars depict 95% confidence intervals for each estimate.

Source: Subbaraman R et al. *PLoS Med* 2016¹² (Open Access, under Creative Commons License).

5. Policy and implementation gaps

Patient-centric, quality TB care is critical for reaching the End TB Strategy targets, and yet, it is clear that many patients do not receive such care in HBCs. While the ISTC have laid out the expectations for quality standards,²¹ the present review shows that the quality of care in both the public and private sectors does not meet these standards, and serious implementation gaps continue to be a concern in many settings.

In 2015, Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) and the Stop TB Partnership published a report called *Out of Step*. This was based on a survey of 24 HBCs, to assess policy adoption in these settings.²² Serious implementation gaps were identified in the survey. The study showed heavy reliance by countries on inaccurate smear microscopy, while only eight countries had revised their national diagnostic algorithms to include the Xpert MTB/RIF (Cepheid Inc., USA) as the frontline test for individuals with suspected TB (instead of sputum microscopy). While intermittent therapy for drug-sensitive TB is suboptimal compared to daily therapy, six countries still offered them. So, it is important for the TB community to acknowledge these implementation gaps and find ways to raise the resources that are necessary for providing quality care that is consistent with international standards.²³ Achieving political will and consensus on this issue will be critical.

6. Quality improvement interventions

TB programs must start to systematically analyze gaps in their care cascades and work towards measuring and incorporating quality of care indicators, in addition to routine coverage indicators. This can be achieved using the established framework of QI, which is a proven, effective way to improve care for patients and to improve practice for health care providers. Health care systems are inherently complex, but using a systematic approach enables the optimization of processes and interventions. QI should be a continuous process and an integral part of adding value to patient care and to the health care delivery system.^{3,4}

In TB, there is a paucity of projects that specifically aim to establish and test QI interventions. However, there are many examples of interventions that have improved quality of TB care in both public and private sectors. As an example, Davis and colleagues prospectively evaluated TB diagnostic services at five primary health care facilities in Uganda over 1 year, after introducing a real-time, electronic performance-monitoring system.²⁴ They collected data on every clinical encounter, and measured quality using indicators derived from the ISTC. In 2009, there were 62 909 adult primary care visits at the facilities included in the study. During the first quarter of 2009, clinicians referred only 21% of patients with cough greater than or equal to 2 weeks for sputum smear microscopy and only 71% of patients with a positive sputum examination for TB treatment. These proportions increased to 53% and 84%, respectively, in the fourth quarter of 2009. The cumulative probability that a smear-positive patient with cough greater than or equal to 2 weeks would be appropriately evaluated and referred for treatment rose from 11% to 34% ($p = 0.005$). The quarterly number of TB cases identified and prescribed treatment also increased four-fold, from 5 to 21.

A subsequent follow-up study in Uganda evaluated the benefits of a multi-component health system strengthening intervention for improving quality of care.²⁵ Same-day smear microscopy was implemented at six health centers, and a performance feedback initiative was implemented in which health care providers were given a monthly 'report card' on their adherence to specific ISTC indicators. These included the proportion of adults with cough referred for sputum examination, the proportion completing sputum examination, and the proportion of smear-positive

Table 1

Advantages of the cascade of care model for monitoring tuberculosis (TB) programs

- Helps policymakers and program staff to visualize the largest gaps in care delivery to facilitate the design of targeted interventions to reduce those gaps
- Shifts program goals away from 'coverage' of a population with TB health services and towards engagement and retention of all TB patients at each step of care
- Places 'notified' TB patients in the larger context of the overall TB population or the TB population reaching care, which helps reveal new gaps in care
- Shifts program metrics away from case notification and treatment completion to more rigorous outcomes such as 1-year TB recurrence-free survival
- Cascades for TB sub-populations (e.g., smear-negative or multidrug-resistant patients) may identify unique points of attrition requiring different interventions from the overall TB population
- Sub-population cascades have also been used in HIV to identify high-risk and vulnerable demographic groups, such as adolescents and incarcerated individuals
- Incorporation of the cascade into routine monitoring of TB programs may allow the development of unified metrics and global targets, as UNAIDS has done for the '90–90–90' HIV/AIDS strategy

patients initiating treatment. Same-day sputum microscopy increased the proportion of patients receiving ISTC-adherent care by 14% (95% CI 10%–18%), and performance feedback increased the proportion of patients receiving ISTC-adherent care by 15% (95% CI 10%–20%). Thus, these studies show that real-time performance monitoring and targeted health system strengthening interventions can improve adherence to internationally accepted standards of TB care.

In the private sector, where quality of TB care can deviate considerably from expected standards, several public–private partnerships have attempted to improve quality of care, with varying degrees of success, as reviewed elsewhere.²⁶ India's RNTCP and state and municipal governments have recently reported success with innovative models of private sector engagement.²⁷ In urban pilots in Mumbai, Patna, and Mehsana, the Indian TB program has engaged a large number of private providers and has greatly increased the numbers of private TB case notifications. In addition, the Indian TB program has improved the diagnosis of TB by offering free vouchers for tests such as GeneXpert, provided patients free daily fixed dose combinations, and improved adherence support to patients managed in the private sector, with good treatment completion rates.²⁷

7. Conclusions

In order to improve TB care, with a view to achieving the ambitious targets set out in the End TB Strategy, we need to increase access to TB care and simultaneously ensure that the care provided is of sufficiently high quality.^{3,4} Health care systems and national TB programs will therefore need to think beyond access and coverage of health care services, and start systematically measuring and improving quality of TB care. Routine evaluation of delays in TB diagnosis and SP studies can help to identify and monitor deficiencies in health systems and provider behavior that may compromise the diagnostic evaluation of TB patients. As shown in Table 1, regular analysis of the cascade of care can help shift metrics away from the focus on coverage of health services and towards a focus on engagement and retention of patients to ensure that all TB patients achieve an optimal outcome of treatment completion and recurrence-free survival. The institution of structured QI programs as an integral part of all national TB programs, supported by clear metrics that take into account setting-specific contexts, will be an important step to achieve the goal of providing high quality TB care to all patients.

Funding: SS is supported by an operations research fellowship grant from the Center for Operations Research, Paris, France. RS is

supported by a Harvard Catalyst KL2/Catalyst Medical Investigator Training award (KL2 TR001100) and a grant from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. AD is supported by a grant from IC-IMPACTS and TB REACH (Stop TB Partnership). MP is supported by a Canada Research Chair Award from CIHR and grants from IC-IMPACTS, TB REACH, FIND, Geneva, and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. None of the funding sources had any involvement in the production or submission of this manuscript.

Conflict of interest: None of the authors have financial or industry conflicts to disclose. MP serves as a consultant to the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and on advisory committees of FIND, Geneva, and TB Alliance, New York. These agencies had no involvement in this manuscript.

References

- Uplekar M, Weil D, Lonnroth K, Jaramillo E, Lienhardt C, Dias HM, et al. WHO's new End TB Strategy. *Lancet* 2015;**385**:1799–801.
- World Health Organization. Global Tuberculosis report 2016. Geneva: WHO; 2016.
- Scott KW, Jha AK. Putting quality on the global health agenda. *N Engl J Med* 2014;**371**:3–5.
- Kruk ME, Larson E, Twum-Danso NA. Time for a quality revolution in global health. *Lancet Glob Health* 2016;**4**: e594–6.
- Getahun H, Matteelli A, Abubakar I, Abdel Aziz M, Baddeley A, Barreira D, et al. Management of latent *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection: WHO guidelines for low tuberculosis burden countries. *Eur Respir J* 2015;**46**:1563–76.
- Rangaka MX, Cavalcante SC, Marais BJ, Thim S, Martinson NA, Swaminathan S, Chaisson RE. Controlling the seedbeds of tuberculosis: diagnosis and treatment of tuberculosis infection. *Lancet* 2015;**386**:2344–53.
- Alsdurf H, Hill PC, Matteelli A, Getahun H, Menzies D. The cascade of care in diagnosis and treatment of latent tuberculosis infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2016;**16**:1269–78.
- Satyanarayana S, Subbaraman R, Shete P, Gore G, Das J, Cattamanchi A, et al. Quality of tuberculosis care in India: a systematic review. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2015;**19**:751–63.
- Sreeramareddy CT, Kishore PV, Menten J, Van den Ende J. Time delays in diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis: a systematic review of literature. *BMC Infect Dis* 2009;**9**:91.
- Sreeramareddy CT, Qin ZZ, Satyanarayana S, Subbaraman R, Pai M. Delays in diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis in India: a systematic review. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2014;**18**:255–66.
- Levi J, Raymond A, Pozniak A, Vernazza P, Kohler P, Hill A. Can the UNAIDS 90–90–90 target be achieved?. A systematic analysis of national HIV treatment cascades. *BMJ Glob Health* 2016;**1**:e000010.
- Subbaraman R, Nathavitharana R, Satyanarayana S, Pai M, Thomas BE, Chadha VK, et al. The tuberculosis cascade of care in India's public sector: recent estimates and gaps in knowledge. *PLoS Med* 2016;**13**:e1002149.
- Das J, Hammer J. Which doctor? Combining vignettes and item response to measure clinical competence. *J Dev Econ* 2005;**78**:348–83.
- Das J, Hammer J, Leonard K. The quality of medical advice in low-income countries. *J Econ Perspect* 2008;**22**:93–114.
- Das J, Holla A, Das V, Mohanan M, Tabak D, Chan B. In urban and rural India, a standardized patient study showed low levels of provider training and huge quality gaps. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2012;**31**:2774–84.
- Das J, Kwan A, Daniels B, Satyanarayana S, Subbaraman R, Bergkvist S, et al. Use of standardised patients to assess quality of tuberculosis care: a pilot, cross-sectional study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2015;**15**:1305–13.
- Mohanan M, Vera-Hernandez M, Das V, Giardili S, Goldhaber-Fiebert JD, Rabin TL, et al. The know-do gap in quality of health care for childhood diarrhea and pneumonia in rural India. *JAMA Pediatr* 2015;**169**:349–57.
- Das J, Chowdhury A, Hussam R, Banerjee A. The impact of training informal health care providers in India: a randomized controlled trial. *Science* 2016;**354**:aaf7384. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf7384> (accessed November 9, 2016).
- Central TB Division, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare and WHO Country Office for India. Standards of TB care in India. New Delhi, India: Ministry of Health and Family Welfare; 2014. Available at: http://www.tbcindia.nic.in/pdfs/STCI%20Book_Final%20%20060514.pdf (accessed).
- Satyanarayana S, Kwan A, Daniels B, Subbaraman R, McDowell A, Bergkvist S, et al. Use of standardised patients to assess antibiotic dispensing for tuberculosis by pharmacies in urban India: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2016;**16**:1261–8.
- TB CARE I. International standards for tuberculosis care, 3rd ed., The Hague: TB CARE I; 2014. Available at: <http://www.istcweb.org> (accessed April 7, 2015).
- Médecins Sans Frontières and Stop TB Partnership. Out of step 2015. TB policies in 24 countries. MSF and Stop TB Partnership; 2015. Available at: http://www.stoptb.org/assets/documents/news/report_out_of_step_2015_11_pdf_with_interactive_links.pdf (accessed November 9, 2016).
- Pai M, Temesgen Z. Mind the gap: time to address implementation gaps in tuberculosis diagnosis and treatment. *J Clin Tuberc Other Mycobact Dis* 2016. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jctube.2016.02.001>
- Davis J, Katamba A, Vasquez J, Crawford E, Sserwanga A, Kakeeto S, et al. Evaluating tuberculosis case detection via real-time monitoring of tuberculosis diagnostic services. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;**184**:362–7.
- Chaisson LH, Katamba A, Haguma P, Ochom E, Ayakaka I, Mugabe F, et al. Theory-informed interventions to improve the quality of tuberculosis evaluation at Ugandan health centers: a quasi-experimental study. *PLoS One* 2015;**10**:e0132573.
- Wells WA, Uplekar M, Pai M. Achieving systemic and scalable private sector engagement in tuberculosis care and prevention in Asia. *PLoS Med* 2015;**12**:e1001842.
- Pai M, Arinaminpathy N. How can India overcome tuberculosis? *BMJ Blogs*. London, UK: The BMJ; 2016. Available at: <http://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2016/10/03/how-can-india-overcome-tuberculosis/> (accessed November 9, 2016).